

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,159	RISTAU, HARALD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEE D WILSON	3723	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LEE D WILSON.

(3) _____.

(2) MUSERLIAN, CHARLES A.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 July 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All claims of the official record.

Identification of prior art discussed: All art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Authorization was granted to the examiner to make an examiners amendment placing the application in conditions for allowance by placing allowable subject matter in the the claims which was agreed upon. There is no need to submit a substance of the interview because the record is complete.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



LEE D. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required